Ventroposterior Medial Pallidotomy in Patients with Advanced Parkinson's Disease
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ABSTRACT

In a preliminary study, the effects of ventroposterior medial pallidotomy were evaluated in five patients with advanced Parkinson's disease in whom medical therapy had failed. The mean age was 67.0 ± 5.6 years, and the mean Hoehn and Yahr stage when "off" was 3.9 ± 1.3. Three patients received unilateral pallidotomies; two of these received another pallidotomy after 8 weeks. Two other patients received staged bilateral pallidotomies. No significant differences in overall function could be seen before and after the first surgical procedure. All three patients with peak-dose dyskinesias or dystonia had marked contralateral reduction in these symptoms. Ventroposterior medial pallidotomy can ameliorate peak-dose dyskinesias in patients with advanced Parkinson's disease. Overall functional improvement is not remarkable.

There has been a renewed interest in the surgical treatment of Parkinson's disease. Recent reports on the results of ventroposterior medial pallidotomy suggest a role for this procedure in moderately severe Parkinson's disease (1,5,8,9,11,13,14,16,18). Because of these initially promising reports in patients with moderately severe disease, we undertook a pilot study to see if similar benefits could be obtained in patients with advanced, medically refractory Parkinson's disease. We report here the preliminary results of this procedure.

PATIENTS AND METHODS
Patient selection

All patients (n = 5) had advanced Parkinson's disease with disabling symptoms; their mean age was 67.0 ± 5.6 years, the mean disease duration was 11.6 ± 6.9 years, and the mean Hoehn and Yahr stage when "off" was 3.9 ± 1.3 (the average of two preoperative assessments). Previous medical therapy had failed in all patients, and most of the patients had severe motor fluctuations with peak-dose dyskinesias or dystonia. (See Table 1 for baseline patient characteristics). All five patients had been treated by the investigators for at least 6 months before enrollment in the study. The risks, the benefits, and the experimental nature of the procedure were outlined in detail for each patient, and their written informed consent was obtained according to the guidelines of our institutional review board.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Duration of Disease (yr)</th>
<th>HVY (on/off)</th>
<th>Levodopa (mg)</th>
<th>Pergolide (mg)</th>
<th>Amantadine (mg)</th>
<th>Other Idiosyn (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1/2.5</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1/2.5</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2/2.5</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* HVY, Hoehn and Yahr stage; on/off indicates stage at times each patient was "on" or "off" (the average of two preoperative assessments).

Table 1. Patient Characteristics at Baseline
Table 1. Patient Characteristics at Baseline

Evaluation

Clinical assessment

Patients were evaluated 2 weeks and 1 week before surgery, and 2, 4, and 8 weeks after each surgical procedure. On each test date, the patients were first evaluated in a practically defined "off" state, with their last anti-parkinsonian medication taken at least 9 hours before the assessment. Patients then took their usual morning dose of levodopa, dopamine agonists, and anticholinergic medications, and they were again evaluated when "on." Assessments performed both on and off included a complete Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) (4), Hoehn and Yahr staging (12), and the Schwab-England Activities of Daily Living scale (4). Subscales were constructed from the individual items on the UPDRS to analyze akinesia, rigidity, and tremor, globally as well as on each side of the body. A Folstein Mini-Mental Status Examination (6) and Hamilton Depression Inventory (10) were completed also at each visit.
weeks after drainage of the subdural hematoma. His balance worsened, and he continued to fall frequently. Because of this, he increased his levodopa dose to a total of 2100 mg daily. On several occasions, he hit his head. Nine weeks after surgery a magnetic resonance imaging scan showed a substantial right convexity centered 3 mm below the AC-PC line; Patient 4, 10.5 mm, centered 2 mm below the AC-PC line; and Patient 5, 4.5 mm, centered 3 mm ventral to the AC-PC line. The vertical lesion lengths were specified as a distance, as the lesioning electrode was moved and multiple lesions were placed (mean, 15.2 mm). The vertical extent of the lesions on postoperative studies (z) varied among patients, despite the relatively uniform time of electrical lesioning among some patients in the group. The vertical extent of the lesions was specified as a distance, as the lesioning electrode was moved and multiple lesions were placed (mean, 15.2 mm). The vertical lesion lengths were as follows: Patient 1, 4.5 mm, centered 4 mm below AC-PC line; Patient 2, 9.0 mm, centered 3 mm below the AC-PC line; Patient 3, 9 mm, centered 3 mm below the AC-PC line; Patient 4, 10.5 mm, centered 2 mm below the AC-PC line; and Patient 5, 4.5 mm, centered 3 mm below the AC-PC line. No significant differences were found between lesion location and outcome in these five patients.

Patients 1 and 4 had subsequent contralateral pallidotomies. Patient 5 had a single left pallidotomy. The first surgery for Patient 1 was right-sided pallidotomy. During surgery and immediately after surgery, observers noted marked improvement in left-sided foot dystonia, rigidity, and rapid alternating movements. The lesioning process depended on the patient's clinical response. Full anatomical lesions were noted in all patients. Patients were videotaped 1 week before and 4 weeks after each surgical procedure.

Intraoperative stimulation and lesioning protocol

For each potential lesion site, stimulation was first performed at low frequencies (2-5 Hz) and subsequently at higher frequencies (typically 50 Hz), starting with low voltage and increasing the voltage slowly. We paid close attention to any indication that a patient had visual hallucinations of flashing lights, which suggested that the tip of the lesioning electrode was too close to the optic tract. We also looked for evidence of clonic, tonic, or dystonic movement of the extremities or face, and we assessed for possible changes in speech. Intraoperative stimulation helped to verify electrode placement. Several lesions were made for each procedure, inserting the electrode deeper or withdrawing it by several millimeters; the final locations of the lesions depended on the patient's response to the electrical stimulation. A permanent anatomical lesion was made by heating the electrode tip to 42°C. In most cases, this accurately predicted the response to the final anatomical lesion, and reversible improvement of contralateral rigidity and akinesia was noted.

RESULTS

In all cases, magnetic resonance imaging was used postoperatively to verify the size and location of the globus pallidum lesion. The lesions were localized in three dimensions (x, y, and z). Postoperative studies showed that all patients had lesions located between 17 and 21 mm lateral to the midline (x) and that all but one patient had lesions between 1 and 3 mm anterior to the midpoint of the AC-PC line (y). Patient 2's lesion was 5 mm anterior. The vertical extent of the lesions on postoperative studies (z) varied among patients, despite the relatively uniform time of electrical lesioning among some patients in the group. The vertical extent of the lesions was specified as a distance, as the lesioning electrode was moved and multiple lesions were placed (mean, 15.2 mm). The vertical lesion lengths were as follows: Patient 1, 4.5 mm, centered 4 mm below AC-PC line; Patient 2, 9.0 mm, centered 3 mm below the AC-PC line; Patient 3, 9 mm, centered 3 mm below the AC-PC line; Patient 4, 10.5 mm, centered 2 mm below the AC-PC line; and Patient 5, 4.5 mm, centered 3 mm below the AC-PC line. No significant differences were found between lesion location and outcome in these five patients.

CASE REPORTS

Patient 1

Patient 1, a 69-year-old, right-handed retired professor of political science, had first developed Parkinson's disease 14 years before this surgery. His first symptoms consisted of gait difficulty and foot dystonia. He initially responded to levodopa, but, as his disease progressed, he developed end-of-dose deterioration with bilateral foot dystonia, violent peak-dose dyskinesias, frequent falling caused by freezing, and marked deterioration of his handwriting. Trials on pergolide and bromocriptine had failed; by the time of his first surgery, he was taking a total daily dose of 1700 mg levodopa.

The first surgery for Patient 1 was right-sided pallidotomy. During surgery and immediately after surgery, observers noted marked improvement in left-sided foot dystonia, rigidity, and rapid alternating movements. No postoperative complications were noted during the week after surgery, and he had improvement in akinesia, tremor, rigidity, and dystonia on the left side. He complained of worsened speech, but the results of the physical examination did not confirm this. Peak-dose dyskinesias were improved on the left; however, his balance worsened, and he continued to fall frequently. Because of this, he increased his levodopa dose to a total of 2100 mg daily. On several occasions, he hit his head. Nine weeks after surgery a magnetic resonance imaging scan showed a substantial right convexity subdural hematoma, which was drained without complication. Because his worsened balance seemed to be caused by persistent right-foot dystonia and not to be a complication of his first surgery, he had a left-sided pallidotomy 11 weeks after the first pallidotomy and 2 weeks after drainage of the subdural hematoma.
Involuntary movement. More recently, others have confirmed the dramatic effect of pallidotomy on peak-dose dyskinesias (Laitinen et al. 1,5,9,18). Recent investigations have confirmed the effectiveness of pallidotomy in ameliorating hyperkinetic choreiform movements (Laitinen et al. 14). The clinical syndrome of levodopa-induced peak-dose dyskinesias became endemic in the parkinsonian population, pallidotomy was first reported experiences. Even in the years before levodopa was established as the standard treatment of Parkinson’s disease, before the clinical syndrome of levodopa-induced peak-dose dyskinesias became endemic in the parkinsonian population, pallidotomy was investigated in the treatment of other choreiform and hemiballistic movements, with documented efficacy (Laitinen et al. 14).

**Patient 3**

Patient 3, a 67-year-old, left-handed former store manager, had had Parkinson’s disease for 4 years. When she was receiving levodopa, she developed peak-dose dystonic spasms on her left shoulder. By the time of surgery, she had profound left arm rigidity and had developed a frozen left shoulder. She also had left arm resting tremor, marked global bradykinesia, and bilateral dystonic foot inversion; she could stand only with assistance.

First, a right-sided pallidotomy was performed. Immediately after surgery, there was a marked improvement in left arm akinesia and rigidity. For the first 24 hours after surgery, a marked improvement in left arm mobility was observed; however, this early improvement diminished by the second day and disappeared completely by the third day after surgery. Because of the clear, although temporary, benefit seen on the first day after surgery, a second procedure was planned to enlarge the original lesion and provide a more lasting response.

Eight weeks later, a second right-sided pallidotomy was performed. The first of four lesions produced marked improvement in left-sided akinesia and rigidity, and subsequent lesions produced some additional incremental improvement. Finding that peak-dose dystonia of the left shoulder was drastically reduced over the next few months, the patient gradually increased her total daily levodopa dosage to 1800 mg with a great subjective improvement in overall function. Objectively, there was a marked reduction in shoulder dystonia.

**Patient 4**

Patient 4, a 60-year-old, right-handed former secretary, had a 20-year history of Parkinson’s disease and had been receiving levodopa for most of that time. By the time of surgery, she was having severe fluctuations with wearing-off and unpredictable off periods. When on, she would have disabling, violent peak-dose dyskinesias. Her Hoehn and Yahr off was Stage 4.5.

First, a right-sided pallidotomy was performed. Immediately after surgery, there was improved tone in the left arm and leg, with no complications. Peak-dose dyskinesias were essentially abolished on the left side of her body. Her Hoehn and Yahr stage, when off, improved from her preoperative stage 4.5 to stage 3, her stage when on improved from her preoperative stage 3 to stage 2. By 4 weeks after being discharged, she was doing much better. On time had increased, and off periods were shorter in duration and less frequent. Pergolide was increased to 1.5 mg a day. Some akathisia persisted, however. By 8 weeks after surgery, persistent right-sided dyskinesias were throwing the patient off balance when on. Because of her excellent response to the initial pallidotomy, and because her residual right-sided dyskinesias appeared to be the main cause for her ongoing disability, she was scheduled for contralateral pallidotomy.

Second, a left-sided pallidotomy was performed. During surgery and immediately after surgery, there was a significant decrease in tone in the right arm and leg. By the first postoperative day, there was a dramatic reduction in dyskinesia, and there appeared to be improvement in speech. Transient blurred vision in the right eye was noted; subsequently, formal visual field testing revealed a new deficit in the right superior quadrant. Depression was noted before she was discharged from the hospital, and, by 4 weeks after the surgery, the patient’s score on item 3 of the UHDRS, an indication of depression, had worsened from a score of 1 to 4.

**Parkinson Rating Scale (all patients)**

No differences were seen between the preoperative and postoperative results in either the mean UPDRS scores, or any of the traditional three subdivisions (mentation, activities of daily living [on or off], or motor examination [on or off]). Specific subscales were constructed to assess the following areas, both on and off: gait/posture, right-sided akinesia, left-sided akinesia, right-sided tremor, left-sided tremor, right-sided rigidity, and left-sided rigidity. Again, there were no differences between mean scores before and after surgery, either ipsilaterally or contralaterally. Timed tasks were normalized by taking the reciprocal of the time to complete a task, and additional hemibody subscales were constructed. No significant differences were observed before or after surgery in any areas, nor were any differences seen in the mean Schwab and England disability scores (on or off), the mean Hoehn and Yahr stages (on or off), or the mean Hamilton Depression Inventory scores (on or off) before and after unilateral pallidotomy.

**Postoperative morbidity**

Mortality after unilateral ventroposterior medial pallidotomy included postoperative worsening of preexisting depression (n = 2), new visual field defects detected only on formal testing (n = 2), increased gait freezing (n = 1), worsened speech (n = 1), possibly worsened swallowing (n = 1), and transient facial weakness (n = 2). Both patients with depression had evidence of long-standing depression before any surgical intervention. Their depression may have been triggered by unmet expectations of surgery, rather than by any surgically created structural lesion.

**DISCUSSION**

The improvement in dyskinesias in Patients 1 and 4 and the improvement in dystonia in Patient 3 are consistent with previous reported experiences. Even in the years before levodopa was established as the standard treatment of Parkinson’s disease, before the clinical syndrome of levodopa-induced peak-dose dyskinesias became endemic in the parkinsonian population, pallidotomy was investigated in the treatment of other choreiform and hemiballistic movements, with documented efficacy (7,17). More recently, other investigators have confirmed the effectiveness of pallidotomy in ameliorating hyperkinetic choreiform movements (2,14). Laitinen et al. (14) found a significant number of his patients had improvement in “dystonia/pain” and mentions that “pallidotomy had a very good effect on involuntary movement.” More recently, others have confirmed the dramatic effect of pallidotomy on peak-dose dyskinesias (1,5,9,18).
Microelectrode recording may allow better anatomical and physiological localization of the appropriate target, as well as more precise definition of the boundary between the medial globus pallidus and the optic tract. Pallidotomy with microelectrode recording might therefore reduce the incidence of visual field deficits. Early reports from centers using this technique do not mention any field deficits, suggesting a possible advantage of this technique (5,16,18). More significantly, a clearer definition of the boundaries between the medial globus pallidus and either the optic tract or the internal capsule might allow a larger lesion to be created with a greater margin of safety, thus allowing for greater functional improvement.

CONCLUSIONS

Ventroposterolateral pallidotomy can reduce or abolish contralateral peak-dose dyskinesia or dystonia in selected patients with Parkinson’s disease. In at least one patient in the present study, we saw a dramatic improvement in the Hoehn and Yahr score, suggesting that this procedure has great potential. However, the benefit varies among patients, and the risks include visual field deficits and possible depression. The functional improvement in patients with advanced Parkinson's disease was not significant.
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COMMENTS

This article by Sutton et al. studies the effects of ventroposterior medial pallidotomy after nine procedures in patients with advanced Parkinson's disease. The results of the procedure on many manifestations of the disease were not spectacular and were variable, as the authors clearly show. In addition, patients with advanced Parkinson's disease do not do well with any type of ablative surgery. Interestingly, the only objective improvement was on levodopa-induced dyskinesia.

Thalamotomy also decreases levodopa-induced dyskinesia, as has been established by the experience of many stereotactic surgeons. However, one will not find much in the literature about this because the complications of thalamotomy in patients with advanced Parkinson's disease are unacceptably high. In addition, bilateral thalamotomy procedures are unlikely to be considered in this group of patients because the risks for speech and gait abnormalities are unacceptable.

Ventroposterior medial pallidotomy may be more appropriate in less advanced bradykinetic patients who must take levodopa/carbidopa for severe bradykinesia but are unable to do so because of severe, bilateral, drug-induced dyskinesia. The advantage of the pallidotomy procedure over thalamotomy is that complications for a staged bilateral pallidotomy are within acceptable levels. The authors, quite correctly, are distressed over the number of postoperative visual field deficits (usually an inferior quadrantanopsia, which can be bothersome to a functional individual); I agree with the authors that incorporation of a microelectrode recording technique may reduce the incidence of this complication.

Patrick J. Kelly

New York, New York

COMMENTS

The value of using ventroposterior medial pallidotomy for the relief of parkinsonian bradykinesia has grasped the imagination of the neuroscientific community. Although the suggestion has been made that pallidotomy in a particular part of medial pallidum is particularly effective for the relief of bradykinesia, it seems to this observer that the lesions made are large enough to destroy most of the medial pallidum in the sagittal plane in which they are done. Such destruction would be at odds with the suggestion that the choice of a particular portion of the medial pallidum in modern pallidotomy makes it more effective for the relief of bradykinesia than were standard pallidotomies done in the past. In fact, inspection of outcome data from the early years of stereotactic surgery suggests that there was improvement of a modest degree in bradykinetic features, improvement that would now be masked by levodopa therapy [1]. Relief of levodopa-induced dyskinesia by pallidotomy is, however, quite striking; such dyskinesia did not exist, of course, at the time that pallidotomy was popular, and the degree of relief is clearly greater than that achieved by thalamotomy. It still remains to be determined which features of parkinsonian bradykinesia are improved by pallidotomy and to what extent they are improved in the long term. Such assessment may require a relatively large database because the degree of improvement is modest and possibly selective for certain aspects of bradykinesia.

Ronald R. Tasker

Toronto, Ontario, Canada
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COMMENTS

The history of pallidotomy is replete with inconsistent results. The experience of Dr. Sutton et al. is typical of that of several centers that have recently attempted posterior ventral pallidotomy. They have seen some improvements in parkinsonian symptoms but have given up the procedure after inconsistent results and complications in a few patients.

In most instances, this repetition of history is a result of not being able to identify the optimal target, which appears to be the
sensorimotor segment of globus pallidus interna. Most of the time, the lesion is placed too anterior and is made too small. The transient improvement from a too anterior lesion was first reported by Spiegel and Wycis (1), who were able to improve their results by making a second lesion more posteriorly. We use the same initial targeting procedure as the authors and are consistently off the ideal target (as defined physiologically) by 2 mm in the x or y axis. We generally make two lesion tracts in the same parasagittal plane, 3 mm apart and equidistant from the optimal trajectory, in order to include all the adjacent sensorimotor area. In special cases, it may be necessary to include an additional lesion tract, either one more lateral for problems with the arm or one more medial for problems with the leg.

The use of microelectrodes can help in identifying the corticospinal tract and optic tract and theoretically could decrease the complication rate. The sensorimotor area can be identified with true microelectrode recordings by virtue of the kinesthetic and tremor-associated neurons (2). One additional aspect concerning the authors’ lesions is the height of those lesions; the vertical extent of globus pallidus interna is between 4 and 7 mm. With the acute angle used by these authors, and the rather long vertical lesioning tracts, the globus pallidus externa was undoubtedly included within the lesion. Experimental studies and our clinical investigations would suggest that lesioning of globus pallidus externa might result in worsening of symptoms, especially if the lesion in the globus pallidus interna is incomplete. The lesion size, in part, is related to duration of heating; in several of these lesions, a time of 15, 20, or 30 seconds was used (personal communication), and this may be insufficient to produce a large enough lesion.

In order to maximize the symptomatic improvement by posterior ventral pallidotomy, our group believes that it is essential to define completely the sensorimotor region of the globus pallidus interna and the lesion. Our results show significant improvement of all symptoms of Parkinson’s disease, as well as of drug-induced dyskinesias. In no situation is this a cure, but the improvements in the activities of daily living can be substantial. Lesioning should be restricted to idiopathic Parkinson’s as Parkinson-plus patients do not seem to be able to take advantage of the motoric improvement. A randomized clinical trial is under way at our institution to determine the effectiveness and safety of posterior ventral pallidotomy.

Roy A.E. Bakay
Atlanta, Georgia
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